

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/822,662	04/13/2004	Keiichi Takanashi	3146	
7590 03/20/2006			EXAMINER	
George A. Loud, Esquire			KUNEMUND, ROBERT M	
BACON & THOMAS 625 Slaters Lane, Fourth Floor Alexandria, VA 22314-1176			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1722	<u>-</u>

DATE MAILED: 03/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/822,662	TAKANASHI ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Robert M. Kunemund	1722		
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHO WHIC - Exten after S - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)☐ 3)☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.			
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicatio	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. Italy Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a content of the original and on the original according to the correct of the papers.	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hayaharu (jp 2-102,187) in view of Jp 10-226592).

The Hayaharu reference teaches a method and apparatus for the growth of silicon by the czochralski method, note entire reference. In a crucible a melt is formed of silicon. A seed is lowered and the contacted with the melt. The seed and crystal are then slowly pulled form the melt. A ring is created at the melt liquid interface, a fusion ring. An optical means is directed at the fusion ring. From this measurement, the position of the liquid is calculated, note translated abs. The sole difference between the

Page 3

Art Unit: 1722

instant claims and the prior art is the use of more then one measuring lines. However, the Jp 10-226592 reference teaches that more then one means is used to measure a fusion ring during czochralski method. The measurements are then feed to a control means, note translated abs. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Hayaharu reference by the teachings of the Jp 10-226592 reference to use more then one measurement lines in order to improve the exactness of the measuring as more points give increased exactness of location.

Claims 2 to 5 and 7 to 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hayaharu (jp 2-102,187) in view of Jp 10-226592).

The Hayaharu and Jp 10-226592 references are relied on for the same reasons as stated, supra, and differ from the instant claims in the placement of the measurement lines. However, in the absence of unexpected results, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to determine through routine experimentation the optimum, operable lie placement in the combined prior art in order to increase the efficiency of the measurements improving quality of the grown crystal.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert M. Kunemund whose telephone number is 571-272-1464. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571-272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/822,662 Page 4

Art Unit: 1722

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RMK

ROBERT KUNEMUND PRIMARY EXAMINER